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Abstract: Conducting scientific research regarding reverse logistics systems includes certain difficulties. Developed logistics systems are aimed at analysing reverse logistics issues and tend to continuously detect differences and oscillations in the flow of returned products and their characteristics. Developing logistics systems, as Croatian, find reverse logistics issues, regarding product returns, significantly complex and very often these issues are not observed as issues of priority. As distributive flow, reverse logistics systems fundamentals should be also based on detailed analysis. Analysis in this flow presents amounts, reasons, process flows and quality of returned items. Because of complex product evaluation on individual level, reverse logistics procedures should be implemented as a methodology individually developed for every supply chain subject. This paper presents a research of retail level returns on the Croatian market, where the analysis implicated that the majority of products in return for this level is directed from final consumers and presents noncurrent inventories of distribution chain. The paper will present conducted research regarding characteristics of returns and routing these products from the retail level.
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1. Introduction

Economic activity depends on the efficiency of logistics systems and processes along the supply chain. Strategic importance of speed, reliability and flexibility in the supply chain is forcing companies to re-examine traditional logistics services (Tadić and Zečević, 2012). Regarding products in return, one can observe two sources. Products can be returned from the final consumer, most often on retail level, or can be returned from networks of business subjects inside the supply chain (McKinnon et al., 2010). The main difference between products in return is the amount, which from the final consumer’s perspective presents an item in return, but from business subjects perspective it presents certain amounts of inventories. These inventories can even present pallets of goods returned because of the end of their selling season, end of their life cycle, etc. (Rogers and Tibben-Lembke, 1998). Continuous research on the Croatian market implicated that these amounts in return are not analysed by the companies and often costs are not traced or calculated (Rogić et al., 2010). Return, except of the tendency to its variability and extreme oscillations, generates logistics costs that include warehousing, transport and employee occupancy (Bajor et al., 2010). Optimizations of these costs should begin at the retail level where two different kinds of return appear (Kleberte, 2006). While most companies invest significantly in processes and technology that make the movement of product to their final consumer more efficient, they typically handle returns
in a manual, cumbersome and expensive way (Norek, 2002). The design of reverse logistics network is also attracting growing attention with the stringent pressures from environmental and social requirements (Lee and Dong, 2009).

An important activity generally related to reverse logistics systems is activity of gatekeeping. Gatekeeping is carried out during the return of the product, and presents a decision regarding the subject in return entering the supply chain. While return, at the entry point, in addition to the authorization of the product, collection of the relevant information about a particular return is important and can provide benefits during the return processing in whole reverse chain (Ramirez, 2012). Companies that are developing reverse logistics system, while products are entering into the system, usually require general information about the reasons for return, place of purchase and payment method (Ivaković et al., 2010). Businesses who want statistical data in order to improve the return, on the point of entry, require more detailed information on the reasons for return, type of product, detailed information about the user, service satisfaction, the desire to introduce the call centre, etc. (Bajor et al., 2012a).

Returns volume significantly depends on the size of retail locations and assortment (Rogić et al., 2012). For the research, returned amounts were measured on three categories of retail locations, where the first category includes retail locations with 300 m² area and with 3000 to 5000 items in our range of products, the second category are retail locations with 2500 m² area with 8000 to 10000 items, and the third category includes retail locations with areas between 2500 to 5000 m² with more than 30000 items in our range of products. The above categorization is shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Categories of Retail Locations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sales area [m²]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category I</td>
<td>&lt; 300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category II</td>
<td>1000-2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category III</td>
<td>2500-5000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2. Products Receipt Processes at the Retail Level

Products in the return to a retail location are directed by the final consumer who initiates it due to a variety of reasons. The aforementioned activity triggers the return and further processing (de Brito, 2003).

The receipt procedure of the returned product at the retail location is almost the same in all retail chains that were included in the study. When the final consumer returns the product, all retailers are formally requesting that product should be returned to a location within eight days, and they mainly request certain documents and information as receipt, the guarantee (if any) and contact (except when only replacement or refund is done). Although they have prescribed procedures they practice in business, most retailers accept returned products even after eight days of purchase, and do not require receipts in each case. Accepting returns after
a period of eight days, due to dissatisfaction with the product, is a matter of business policy (Rogić and Bajor, 2012).

One of the surveyed retailers reported higher volumes of product returns at retail locations that do not belong to their chain just because their return procedure does not require an account at receipt location. Retail chain justifies this procedure with an assumption that market positioning is just a result of final consumer satisfaction, and that the amount of products that do not belong to their chain is negligible. Studies have shown just the opposite, at the same retail location category (III), of one of the surveyed retail chains, there were 17% of returned products that do not belong to the retailer. The amount of returned products of the mentioned category depends on the company policy, uniformed return procedures and trained employees who will identify the returned product category. The research implicated that although employees at receipt recognize these products (various labels, prices, etc.), sometimes for different reasons, they decide to accept these products.

Research regarding return of products on the retail level is based on data of 112 retail locations of various retail chains on the Croatian market, with a variety of operating conditions. Retail chains included in the research are chains that make the majority on the Croatian market.

For Category I retail locations, the daily average is three products in return. It is important to note that often the mentioned retail locations do not accept returns on a daily basis, and for all processes regarding return, such as receiving, administrative procedures and further directing of goods in return, the manager of retail locations is in charge.

For decisions regarding discounts and further directing, the manager consults the procurement manager or product category manager on retail chain level and acts accordingly, which is in line with the company policy and existing contracts.

Retail locations Category II record an average return of seven products per day. For administration, reception and further directing of products in return, two employees and the manager are usually in charge. The mentioned employees are fully familiar with the procedures regarding return.

Category III retail locations, receive an average return of 16 products per day. For retail locations Category III, at least three employees and the retail location manager are responsible for refund processing. The surveyed employees in Category III retail location implicated that processing returned items requires certain education regarding product categories in return and proficient knowledge of the company policy.

The amount of daily returns by retail level categories are shown in Fig. 1 and present returns in a time period of 100 days.
In addition to employees at the reception that are administrating and accepting return, at almost all retail chains in the Croatian market, there is a processing pattern for different product categories that make the majority range in the chain (electronic devices, consumer products, books, etc.) (Bajor et al., 2012b). Every return made by the final consumer is initiated due to different reasons (Blackburn et al., 2004). At the Croatian market after initiating return, in the receipt processing zone, an employee checks the documentation and, if the return is authorised, follows the procedure. If the product to be returned is an electric or electronic device or is not a user-friendly product (the most complex easy-use product implies only “switch on and use” complexity), the employee at the receipt gives the product to an employee of appropriate department for short review. If the department employee determines that the reason for the return is justified, a mode for further processing is proposed to employees at the reception. The total time period devoted to each product results in an average of 20 minutes, which includes admission, review and preparation of documentation. Although 20 minutes is the average, in some cases this disposition cycling time at retail level in its overall makes maximum of 42 minutes. If the department employee is occupied with the product in return, distribution flow is neglected, which can seriously affect sales and consumer satisfaction (Aberdeen Group, 2010). Processing the final consumer return at the retail location is shown in Fig. 2.

Fig. 1.
Returned Products According to Categories of Retail Locations
If the company policy and receipt conditions allow the return, the final consumer will decide to replace the product or receive cash equivalent to product market value in retail chain. Although in most retail chains the refund rate is not related to the current market price, but to the amount on the invoice, some retailers base the refund on current prices of the same or most similar product in the existing offer. During the research, non-uniform decisions have been noted on further processing of the returned products upon receipt and regarding the treatment of final consumers initiating the return. Although there are principled rules and procedures that officially take place after receipt of return, they also depend on the final consumer. Studies have confirmed that the abovementioned often depends on the mood of the final consumer (e.g. whether
belonging to the category of “complex consumer”), its status in the retail chain (e.g. loyal consumer), age, familiarization with the Consumer Protection Act, etc. In the majority of observed retail chains, employees at the return reception estimate the final consumer, and often adapt further processing to the situation. The mentioned non-uniformed organization results in unnecessary transport processes by the retail chain to the final consumer in order to collect the product in return, granting refunds due to an unpleasant behaviour of the final consumer, replacing products that do not belong to the retailer, etc.

3. Routing the Products in Return

Studies have shown that the retail locations Category III receives an average of 6.25% of the products that do not belong to the retail chain, 9.43% of the products are directed to be sold at full price, for 57.05% of returned products reduced prices are defined, 18.18% of returned products are directed to landfills and 9.09% of them are directed to services, as shown in Fig. 3.

Products that are directed to be sold at full price are products that visually match the brand new and originally packaged product. The decision on the above guidance brings an employee who receives the returned product and has not been trained to check the accuracy of all product categories and the possible failures. This result in the return of the same product by different final consumers recorded more than once.

Discounts for products in return in all surveyed retail chains are defined after a retail level employee sends a request to the procurement manager or product category manager. Depending on the product category, each returned product is awarded with a discount price and, according to the Consumer Protection Acts, product defects are cited, if any. One of the surveyed retailers determines the reduction of price for the products in return at several levels. If the product is not sold at the first level discount, the manager defines the new reduction, and appropriately positions it at specified sales locations. While all retailers did not want to comment on the method of defining the reduction of prices, one of the retail chain claimed that the business policy is based on the fact that the price will never go below their purchase price.
At a retail location, in a day, a total write off includes 40-50 products, which according to the retailer, at a retail location Category III at monthly level make the total value 650-700 EUR, excluding other costs. Depending on the company policy, the product category and level of retail chain centralization, the products are destroyed at the retail level (in the form of proper disposal in specified containers) or routed to a central warehouse where are they also destroyed. At the retail location, also category III, the containers are emptied twice a month, while the removal of animal origin product categories is organized once a week and at the monthly level presents an average of 150-170 kg of products.

The studies conducted on the respondents included in the research, confirmed that in most cases, even 76%, final consumers products that are intended to service returns to the place of purchase, while only 10% of returned products are directed to the service, then to the manufacturer or location indicated on the product, which is shown in Fig. 4. Further research implicated that after consumers return the product to the retail location, in 12% of cases (out of the total recorded return), employees at the information desk or at the retail level direct them to return product themselves back in the authorized service. These facts support the research conducted at retail chains, since two chains involved in the study do not organize the transport of the returned products to services, but consider it as the obligation of final consumers. A specified business policy is explained with a very small range of electrical and electronic devices, and the fact that this kind of business they currently obtain and they do not plan to change it.

![Fig. 4.](image)

**Fig. 4.**
Final Consumers Re-routing or Acceptance of Returned Products

### 4. Purchase Characteristics at the Retail Level

For research purposes, returns have been followed during the period of 30 days, at retail level Category III, which at a given location in the assortment contains approximately 33,000 items. During the observed time period, there was a daily average of 2706 invoices. An individual invoice on average
contained 12 products, while at the end of the observed time period at retail location there were more than 900 000 products sold, in more than 80 000 accounts. After reviewing the statistical data of the retail chain, it was found that in January 2013 on each invoice issued, an average of 35% of purchased products included products with reduced prices, as shown in Fig. 5.

These data are significant for creating channels of reverse logistics within the Croatian market, based on market research and the behaviour of the final consumer. At the Croatian market reverse logistics activities and channels should be developed in certain direction. This direction needs to be based on activities for reusing the products and developing reverse logistics channels in form of secondary markets. The behaviour of final consumers and conducted research implicated that market for used or slightly damaged products or product without their original packaging exists and consumers are willing to purchase them, as products of primer, distribution channel. The formation of secondary markets can affect environmentally conscious purchasing and result in fewer products unnecessarily directed to landfills.

![Graph showing the share of products purchased at a reduced and full price at Category III Retail Location](image)

**Fig. 5.**
*Share of Products Purchased at a Reduced and Full Price at Category III Retail Location*

5. Conclusion

The issues of reverse logistics in developed logistics systems were investigated twenty years ago, and this segment of logistics is still considered an area that is essential to research, with a final objective to optimize the entire supply chain. The difference between developed and developing reverse logistics systems implies the level of organization, uniform procedures, implementation of reverse logistics channels and activities, and continuous analysis.

The characteristics of reverse logistic systems at different logistics markets, in their basic structure are not significantly different, while the problems and ways in which the
return flows are substantially different, and must be observed at an individual level. Specific levels of liberalization, formed contracts, the structure of final consumer and ways of organizing business entities at different levels of the supply chains of individual logistics markets, directly affect the construction form of reverse logistics system and the amount of products in the return. To systematically provide detailed analysis of reverse logistics system, it is necessary to structure and adjust it to subjects in the supply chain that include manufacturers, retailers, distributors, etc.

During the market research, there were large quantities of returned products to all business subjects of the supply chains, but it was detected that the highest amounts are continuously accumulated at the supplier’s level. The most relevant shortcomings of reverse logistics organization are evident in a prolonged disposition cycling time, employee engagement, overload of distribution flow, high costs, unorganized and non-existing activities and reverse logistics channels, amounts unnecessarily directed on landfills, etc.

The research results primarily implicated that operators of supply chains at the retail level do not keep detailed records of the return quantities and the reasons for the formation of increased amounts on reverse flow, also they do not conduct analysis related to returned products. Although returned products on this level present the lowest amount detected in reverse flow, this level, as all other more complex levels, needs to be systematically organized. The mentioned characteristics of reverse logistics flow are primarily due to management’s oversight. As in the most developing logistics systems, reverse logistics on the Croatian market is considered as flow of secondary matter. Regarding processing and overload of employees and infrastructure of distribution channel, centralized returned centre should be developed for uniformed processing of returned items and disburden of distributive flow.
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